Annex No. 3 to Order No. 2/2024

of the Director of the Doctoral School of Medical and Health Sciences   
of 28 February 2024

**Report**

of the Mid-term Assessment Committee Meeting

drawn on ............................... [date]

The Mid-term Assessment Committee, consisting of:

Chair: ......................................

Members: ......................................

......................................

acting on the basis of §18 of the Regulations for Doctoral Schools of Jagiellonian University in Kraków, which constitutes an Annex to Resolution No. 39/IV/2023 of the Senate of the Jagiellonian University of 26 April 2023, has conducted a mid-term assessment of Ms/Mr........................................ the PhD student/ student of the second year in the doctoral programme ...............................................

During the meeting, the PhD student made a presentation of the results of the research work carried out in the selected research topic, entitled:

..............................................................................................................................................................................................................................................................................................................

1.Questions asked during the meeting by Committee members.

...............................................................

*(title, name of person asking the question)*

Question: ......................................................................................................................................

*content of the question*

Answer to question 1 -satisfactory/unsatisfactory\* circle the appropriate answer

...............................................................

*(title, name of person asking the question)*

Question: ......................................................................................................................................

*content of the question*

Answer to question 2 -satisfactory/unsatisfactory\* circle the appropriate answer

...............................................................

*(title, name of person asking the question)*

question: .......................................................................................................................................

*content of the question*

Answer to question 3 - satisfactory/unsatisfactory\* circle the appropriate answer

...............................................................

*(title, name of person asking the question)*

Question: ......................................................................................................................................

*content of the question*

Answer to question 4 - satisfactory/unsatisfactory\* circle the appropriate answer

...............................................................

*(title, name of person asking the question)*

Question: ......................................................................................................................................

*content of the question*

Answer to question 5 - satisfactory/unsatisfactory\* circle the appropriate answer

...............................................................

*(title, name of person asking the question)*

Question: ......................................................................................................................................

*content of the question*

Answer to question 6 - satisfactory/unsatisfactory\* circle the appropriate answer

...............................................................

*(title, name of person asking the question)*

The members of the Committee express their opinion by circling the appropriate answer (satisfactory/unsatisfactory) under the question on the results of the research work carried out in the context of the implementation of the individual research plan.

In the closed part, in the absence of the PhD student, the Committee deliberated on the status of the PhD student's individual research plan on the basis of the presentation given and the discussion with the PhD student, and determined the outcome of the assessment.

The Committee's assessment of the extent to which the plan has met its objectives, the research and the timeliness of its implementation: .........................................................................................................

.........................................................................................................

.........................................................................................................

.........................................................................................................

.........................................................................................................

.........................................................................................................

.........................................................................................................

It is recommended that the PhD student meets at least two of the eight merit criteria, of which at least one is a hard criterion.

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Substantive criteria** | **Criterion fulfilled** | **Criterion not fulfilled** |
| \* put a cross in the relevant boxes | |
| 1. hard criterion - preliminary results of the PhD student's research planned in the individual research plan (assessment on the basis of a published or accepted for publication original work in a journal from the list of the Ministry of Science and Higher Education, approved by the supervisor as a component of the future doctoral dissertation) |  |  |
| 1. hard criterion - a data analysis report with preliminary results and conclusions, directly related to the subject matter that is the subject of the individual research plan, indicating the percentage progress of the doctoral dissertation (assessment based on the report submitted) |  |  |
| 1. hard criterion - literature review (assessment on the basis of an opinion or review paper published or accepted for publication in a journal from the list of the Ministry of Science and Higher Education, directly related to the subject matter constituting the subject of the individual research plan, approved by the supervisor as a component of the future doctoral dissertation) |  |  |
| 1. hard criterion - patent application or patent obtained, related to the subject matter constituting the subject of the individual research plan, approved by the supervisor as a component of the future doctoral dissertation (assessment on the basis of the decision to obtain a patent or confirmation of the patent application) |  |  |
| 1. soft criterion - completion by the PhD student of methodological courses, including statistical or ethical courses on the research methods planned in the individual research plan (assessment on the basis of a personal certificate of a course completion) |  |  |
| 1. soft criterion - completion by the PhD student of training in the techniques and methods necessary to carry out the individual research plan, in the form of at least a 5-day research internship (or equivalent), outside the place where the research work was carried out (assessment on the basis of a certificate from the head of the unit where the PhD student completed their internship, listing the research techniques mastered by the PhD student) |  |  |
| 1. soft criterion - obtaining funding or a documented attempt to obtain funding for a research project thematically related to the individual research plan - as the manager of a project funded from non-university or intra-university sources (assessment on the basis of a funding decision or on the basis of a complete application for funding with confirmation of its submission) |  |  |
| 1. soft criterion - presentation of results at a domestic or international scientific conference, directly related to the subject matter of the individual research plan (assessment based on confirmation from the conference organiser, extract from the conference programme, copy of abstract in the conference materials) |  |  |

1. Committee discussion

The Committee's discussion took place without the participation of the PhD student.

Chair of the Committee .......................... - positive assessment, negative assessment\*

Committee member ........................... - positive, negative assessment\*

Committee member ........................... - positive, negative assessment\*

\* circle the appropriate

1. Committee's recommendations for the PhD student

.........................................................................................................

.........................................................................................................

.........................................................................................................

.........................................................................................................

.........................................................................................................

.........................................................................................................

.........................................................................................................

1. The Resolution of the Mid-term Assessment Committee concerning the implementation of the individual research plan in the Doctoral School of Medical and Health Sciences

There were \_\_\_ votes for a positive assessment and \_\_\_ votes for a negative assessment.

The Committee has adopted a resolution with positive/negative assessment of the implementation of the individual research plan of Ms/Mr..........................................................

1. The Committee presented the resolution on the implementation of the individual research plan to the PhD student.

The meeting was then closed.

........................................ - Chair of the Committee .........................................

*title/degree/full name signature*

........................................ - Committee member. ………………………………

*title/degree/full name signature*

........................................ - Committee member ……………………………….

*title/degree/full name signature*

*Report made by...............................*